

## A note on computation

Joe Ritter

Carter, Schnepel and Steigerwald (2017) define the approximate effective number of clusters for a linear combination of coefficients by

$$G^{*A} = \frac{G}{1 + \Gamma^A} \quad \text{where} \quad \Gamma^A = \frac{\widehat{\text{Var}}(\gamma_g)}{\bar{\gamma}_g^2},$$

$$\gamma_g = \mathbf{a}'(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}'_g\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{X}_g)(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{a},$$

where  $\mathbf{X}_g$  contains the data for cluster  $g$ ,  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  is the assumed variance matrix of the errors, and  $\mathbf{a}$  is a selection vector. This package enables only selection vectors for single coefficients (e.g.,  $[0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0]'$ ).

Carter et al. suggest setting  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  to a matrix of ones, which implies, conservatively, that errors within a cluster are perfectly correlated. However, this choice is a problem if the regression includes cluster fixed effects: either  $\mathbf{X}$  is the outcome of a within transformation or explicitly includes columns of fixed effects. In the former case, the problem is easy to see. The means of  $\mathbf{X}_g$  should be zero, but, *computationally*, they are tiny nonzero numbers. Therefore,  $\mathbf{X}'_g\mathbf{\Lambda}$  should be zero, but, computationally, it is filled with tiny nonzero numbers. This ultimately results in a tiny, computationally unstable value for  $\bar{\gamma}^2$ . Not surprisingly, when cluster fixed effects are columns of  $\mathbf{X}$  the same problem crops up. Therefore, `effClust` sets the off-diagonal elements of  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  to 0.999 by default, which makes no appreciable difference in other cases.

When clusters are very large, the  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  matrix is huge, so direct implementation of the matrix formula can be a problem. The package therefore follows the approach outlined in MacKinnon, Nielson, and Webb (2022), equation (38). However, it does not force  $\rho = 0$  when there are cluster fixed effects as recommended there. MacKinnon et al. recommend  $\rho = 0$  when there are cluster fixed effects on the grounds that the fixed effects typically remove all of the intra-cluster correlation in the equi-correlated case. But since equi-correlation in  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  is just a convenience, not a maintained hypothesis, judgement about the value of  $\rho$  is left to the user.

## References

Andrew V. Carter, Kevin T. Schnepel, and Douglas G. Steigerwald, “Asymptotic Behavior of a  $t$ -test Robust to Cluster Heterogeneity,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, October 2017, 99(4). [https://doi.org/10.1162/REST\\_a\\_00639](https://doi.org/10.1162/REST_a_00639).

James G. MacKinnon, Morten Ørregaard Nielsen, and Matthew D. Webb, “Leverage, Influence, and the Jackknife in Clustered Regression Models: Reliable Inference Using summclust,” QED Working Paper 1483, Queen’s University (2022). <https://www.econ.queensu.ca/research/working-papers/1483>.